	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	09/681,398	WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel Broda	2123	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apply All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commits application is and MPEP 1308.	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. The subject to withdrawal from issue at the initial to the initia	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Applicants' Amendment</u>	ent mailed on 16 February :	<u>2005</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 20-30 and 33-42.			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>29 March 2001</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Applicati	on No	he
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requirements	3
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			=
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		w (PTO-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date		r in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 C	he drawings in the front (not the back) of FR 1.121(d).	
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of I	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 2/16/05	08), 7. ☐ Examiner's	Amendment/Comment	
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit		Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
of Biological Material	9. Other		
		March	
		SAMUEL BRODA, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04) Serial Number: 09/681,398 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

1. This communication is in response to Applicants' Amendment mailed on 16 February 2005. In the Amendment, claims 1-19 were canceled and claims 20-30 and 33-42 were added; claims 20-30 and 33-42 are pending.

Reasons for Allowance

2. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The closest prior art of record shows:

- (1) a method for analyzing a computer generated model, including sampling a performance surface (Ye et al, "Algorithmic Construction of Optimal Symmetric Latin Hypercube Design");
- (2) a method for performing design trade-off based on a total score (Ali et al, U. S. Patent 6,405,344);
- (3) an augmented robust concept exploration method (Bailey et al, "Using Response Surfaces to Improve the Search for Satisfactory Behavior in System Dynamics Models"); and
- (4) the DOE/Opt system that integrates design of experiments, response surface model generation, and nonlinear constrained optimization (Boning et al, "DOE/Opt: A System for Design of Experiments, Response Surface Modeling, and Optimization Using Process and Device Simulation").

Serial Number: 09/681,398 Page 3

Art Unit: 2123

1.

2.1 Applicants' first set of claims consists of claims 20-30 and 33-41.

Independent claim 20 and independent claim 34 are each directed to a method of interactively analyzing and optimizing a computer generated model of a design of a vehicle.

Each independent claim identifies the distinct limitations of: "determining a residual output value using the randomly selected sample points, wherein the residual output value is determined by approximating a performance surface response for the randomly selected sample points" and "adopting the design as a final design if the performance meets the predetermined criteria, otherwise continuing to optimize the overall design to be robust using a relationship between a value of the performance and a probability of occurrence."

Because the closest prior art does not appear to teach or suggest the combination of determining residual output using randomly selected sample points, followed by robustly optimizing the design using a relationship between a performance value and a probability, claims 20-30 and 33-41 are deemed allowable.

2.2 Applicants' second set of claims consists of claim 42.

Independent claim 42 is directed to a system of interactively analyzing and optimizing a computer generated model of a design of a vehicle. This claim identifies the distinct limitations of: "determines a residual output value using the randomly selected sample points, wherein the residual output value is determined by approximating a performance surface response for the randomly selected sample points" and "adopts the design as a final design if the performance

Serial Number: 09/681,398 Page 4

Art Unit: 2123

meets the predetermined criteria, otherwise continues to optimize the overall design to be robust using a relationship between a value of the performance and a probability of occurrence."

Because the closest prior art does not appear to teach or suggest the combination of determining residual output using randomly selected sample points, followed by robustly optimizing the design using a relationship between a performance value and a probability, claim 42 is deemed allowable.

- 3. Any comments considered necessary by Applicants must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Samuel Broda, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3709. The Examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 8:00 AM 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska can be reached at (571) 272-3716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

SAMUEL BRODA, ESQ. PRIMARY EXAMINER